



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE  |         | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/679,906                   | •       | 10/05/2000 | Chan Daigle          | 25791.37.02             | 25791.37.02 8824 |  |
| 27684                        | 7590    | 11/21/2002 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| HAYNES A                     |         | ONE, LLP   | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| 1000 LOUISIANA<br>SUITE 4300 |         |            | •                    | NICHOLSON, ERIC K       |                  |  |
| HOUSTON,                     | TX 7700 | 02         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                              |         |            |                      | 3679                    |                  |  |
|                              |         |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del>- /</del>  |   | Application No.                            | Applicant(s)                                      |              |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| *Office Action Summary  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | 09/679,906                                 | DAIGLE ET AL.                                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                                   | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | Eric K Nicholson                           | 3679  | 'ASS         |  |  |  |  |
| Period for  |   | ears on the cover officer was the c        | on coponacios and                                 |              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{07 J}$  | une 2002 and 04 September 200              | <u>02</u> .                                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi   | s action is non-final.                     |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| -   | on of Claims  | - in the application                       |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | Claim(s) <u>1-7,28-30,37 and 42-58</u> is/are pendin  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>37,42,43,47-58</u> is/are allowed.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · ·   | Claim(s) <u>1-6,28-30 and 44-46</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application   | •   | oloodon roquiromona.                       |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9)□ ⊤   | he specification is objected to by the Examiner   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 10)□ T  | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep  | ted or b)⊡ objected to <b>by the Exa</b> r | miner.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| a) <u></u>  | ] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 14)∐ Ad   | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e         | e) (to a provisional a                            | pplication). |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>  |   |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(   | s)  |  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>12</u>  | 5) Notice of Informal F                    | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO- |              |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3679

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the expanded tubular members of claims 1 and 46 must be shown and the threaded end portions being of greater inner diameter than the tubular members of claim 44 also must be shown. No new matter should be entered.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8, line 4, "fig. 5" should be changed to "fig. 3" as there is no "fig. 5". On page 8, reference numeral "505" should be changed to "305" and reference numeral "510" should be changed to "310". Appropriate correction is required.

Note that several of the documents on the IDS filed 6-7-02 have not been reviewed since these documents are either incomplete or duplicates.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The

Art Unit: 3679

specification and drawings are void of any description of the different internal diameters between the threads and the tubular members.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6,28-30,45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. patent 4,711,474 to Patrick. See fig. 2 which illustrates the claimed invention of a pair of radially expanded tubular members 40,42 having radially expanded threaded portions 14,18 coupled to one another and a quantity of sealant 34 within the radially expanded threaded portions of the radially expanded tubular members. The entire assembly is considered to be expandable given that exposed to heat in its normal use it will expand.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 1-6,28-30,45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. patent 2,907,589 to Knox. See fig. 7 which illustrates the claimed invention of a pair of radially expanded tubular members 11,12 having radially expanded threaded portions 15,23 coupled to one another and a quantity of sealant 33 within the radially expanded threaded portions of the radially expanded tubular members. The entire assembly is considered to be expandable given that exposed to heat in its normal use it will expand.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 37 and 42,43,47-58 allowable over the prior art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3679

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

· Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9326 for "before final" papers and (703) 872-9325 for "after final" papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ekn 11/15/02

Eric K. Nicholson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3600